## Unfree gauge symmetry Несвободно-порождённая калибровочная симметрия V. Abakumova<sup>1</sup>, S. Lyakhovich<sup>2</sup>

### В.А. Абакумова<sup>1</sup> , С.Л. Ляхович<sup>2</sup>

Physics Faculty, Tomsk State University, Lenin ave. 36, Tomsk 634050, Russia

Национальный исследовательский Томский государственный университет, физический факультет, Россия, 634050, Томск, пр-т Ленина, 36

Калибровочная симметрия называется несвободно-порождённой, если калибровочные преобразования оставляют функционал действия инвариантным при условии, что калибровочные параметры подчинены системе дифференциальных уравнений в частных производных. Наиболее известным примером данного типа симметрии является диффеоморфизм, сохраняющий объём, представляющий собой преобразования калибровочной симметрии унимодулярной гравитации (УГ). Все известные расширения УГ, включая высшеспиновые аналоги, также обладют несвободно-порождённой калибровочной симметрией. С учётом отличий несвободно-порождённой калибровочной симметрией. С учётом отличий несвободно-порождённой калибровочной симметрией. Эти различия имеют последствия для всех ключевых составляющих общей калибровочной теории, начиная со второй теоремы Нётер, гамильтонова формализма со связями, БРСТ-комплекса и квантования. В настоящем обзоре рассматриваются модификации общей калибровочной теориии на случай несвободно-порождённой калибровочной симметрии, разработанные в последние годы.

The gauge symmetry is said unfree if the gauge transformation leaves the action functional unchanged provided for the gauge parameters are constrained by the system of partial differential equations. The best known example of this phenomenon is the volume preserving diffeomorphism being the gauge symmetry of unimodular gravity (UG). Various extensions are known of the UG, including the higher spin analogs – all with unfree gauge symmetry. Given the distinctions of the unfree gauge symmetry from the symmetry with unrestricted gauge parameters, the algebra of gauge transformations is essentially different. These distinctions have consequences for all the key constituents of general gauge theory, starting from the second Noether theorem, Hamiltonian constrained formalism, BRST complex, and quantization. In this review article, we summarise the modifications of general gauge theory worked out in recent years to cover the case of unfree gauge symmetry.

PACS: 11.15.-q; 11.10.Ef

#### 1. Introduction

The common textbook definition of gauge symmetry [1] implies that action functional is invariant under the gauge variations of the fields

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\phi^{i} = R^{i}_{\alpha}(\phi)\epsilon^{\alpha}, \quad \delta_{\epsilon}S(\phi) \equiv \epsilon^{\alpha}R^{i}_{\alpha}(\phi)\partial_{i}S(\phi) \equiv 0, \quad \forall \epsilon^{\alpha},$$
(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>E-mail: abakumova@phys.tsu.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>E-mail: sll@phys.tsu.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>E-mail: abakumova@phys.tsu.ru (русский вариант)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>E-mail: sll@phys.tsu.ru (русский вариант)

where DeWitt's condensed notion is used. The gauge generators  $R^i_{\alpha}(\phi)$  are assumed to be local differential operators which do not vanish on-shell. The gauge parameters  $\epsilon^{\alpha}$  are supposed to be arbitrary functions of space-time. This definition is a cornerstone of general gauge theory, though the examples have been long known of the gauge symmetry that do not fit setup (1). The deviation from (1) is that gauge variation is unfree in the sense that gauge parameters have to be restricted by the system of partial differential equations (PDE) to leave the action unchanged. One more common assumption of the general gauge theory [1] is that any on-shell vanishing local quantity should reduce to the l.h.s. of field equations and their derivatives. This assumption is also invalid in various known examples of unfree gauge symmetry [2], [3], [4], [5]. The on-shell trivial quantities exist such that do not reduce to the field equations. This general feature of unfree gauge symmetry has been first noticed in [6].

Let us first exemplify these general features of unfree gauge symmetry by the case of unimodular gravity (UG) [7]–[14]. For basic introduction into the UG, and further literature, we refer to [15]. Once the metrics are restricted in UG by the unimodularity condition det g = -1, gauge symmetry reduces to the volume preserving diffeomorphisms:

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \det g = 0, \quad \delta_{\epsilon} g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu} \epsilon_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu} \epsilon_{\mu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla_{\mu} \epsilon^{\mu} = 0.$$
 (2)

Einstein's equations become traceless, hence they are not transverse. This makes  $\Lambda$  "integration constant", not pre-defined parameter:

$$S = \int d^d x R \,, \quad \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{d} g_{\mu\nu} R \approx 0 \,; \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla^{\nu} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \equiv \frac{d-2}{d} \partial_{\mu} R \approx 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R \approx \Lambda = const.$$
 (4)

On-shell relation  $R - \Lambda \approx 0$  is not a differential consequence of equations of motion (EoM's) (3), nor  $\Lambda$  is it a charge of any local conserved current.

Volume preserving diffeomorphisms form the subalgebra

$$\delta_{\epsilon_1}\delta_{\epsilon_2} - \delta_{\epsilon_2}\delta_{\epsilon_1} = \delta_{[\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2]}, \quad \nabla \cdot \epsilon_{1,2} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot [\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2] = 0.$$
 (5)

The subalgebra is singled out by imposing PDE onto the gauge parameters  $\epsilon^{\mu}$  rather than by explicitly separating subset of generators.

Various generalizations are known of the UG, see [16]– [21]. The most frequent starting point for modifications is that unimodularity condition is replaced by a more general relation,  $N = N(\overset{*}{g})$ . In this case,  $\Lambda$  is still an integration constant (in [20], [21] it is Newtonian constant), but there are also new options to describe "k-essence" and other phenomena.

Higher spin (HS) linearised gravities provide more examples of unfree gauge symmetry. They include irreducible HS traceless tensors [4],

$$\operatorname{Tr}\widetilde{h} = 0, \quad \delta_{\widetilde{\epsilon}}\widetilde{h}_{\mu_{1}\dots\mu_{s}} = \partial_{(\mu_{1}}\widetilde{\epsilon}_{\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{s})}, \quad \operatorname{Tr}\widetilde{\epsilon} = 0, \quad \partial \cdot \widetilde{\epsilon} = 0, \quad (6)$$

as well as "Maxwell-like" HS, tracefull tensors [5],

$$\delta_{\epsilon} h_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} = \partial_{(\mu_1} \epsilon_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_s)}, \quad \partial \cdot \epsilon = 0.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

Both models don't involve auxiliary fields, unlike Fronsdal's action.

HS gravity models with unfree gauge symmetry admit "global conserved quantities", being HS analogs of cosmological constant [22], [23], [24]. Number of these "HS cosmological constants" is growing with spin.

For s = 3, the analog of scalar curvature is a vector.

$$R^{\mu} = \partial_{\nu}\partial_{\lambda}h^{\mu\nu\lambda}, \quad \partial_{\mu}R_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}R_{\mu} \approx 0.$$
(8)

$$\widetilde{R}^{\mu} = \partial_{\nu}\partial_{\lambda}\widetilde{h}^{\mu\nu\lambda}, \quad \partial_{\mu}\widetilde{R}_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\widetilde{R}_{\mu} - \frac{2}{d}\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial\cdot\widetilde{R} \approx 0; \qquad (9)$$

Instead of  $\partial_{\mu}R \approx 0$  for UG, for s = 3 we arrive at (conformal) Killing eqs.

The general solution to eqs (8), (9) reads

 $R_{\mu} = \Lambda_{\mu} + \Lambda_{\mu\nu} x^{\nu}$ ,  $\widetilde{R}_{\mu} = \Lambda_{\mu} + \Lambda_{\mu\nu} x^{\nu} + \Lambda x^{\mu} + \Lambda'_{\nu} (2x^{\nu}x_{\mu} - \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}x_{\lambda}x^{\lambda})$ , (10) where  $\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = -\Lambda_{\nu\mu}, \Lambda'_{\mu}, \Lambda_{\mu}, \Lambda$  are arbitrary "integration constants", being the higher spin analogs of the cosmological constant for the UG.

For s > 3, the higher Ricci's  $R_{\mu_1...\mu_{s-2}}$  of the rank s - 2, or traceless  $\widetilde{R}_{\mu_1...\mu_{s-2}}$  obey (conformal) Killing tensor eqs, as the differential consequences of EoM's. The rank s - 2 (conformal) Killing tensor is decomposed into the product of s - 2 (conformal) Killing vectors. Therefore, the number of the "cosmological constants" is  $10 \times (s - 2)$  for the "Maxwell-like" HS theory, and  $15 \times (s - 2)$  for the UG-like HS gravity in d = 4.

Notice that all the theories with unfree gauge symmetry admit alternative description by reducible gauge symmetry with unconstrained gauge parameters [25]. Let us consider some examples of such alternative parametrization.

For massless spin 2 in d = 4,

$$\partial_{\mu}\epsilon^{\mu} = 0 \quad \langle \approx \rangle \quad \epsilon^{\mu} = \partial_{\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu} , \quad \epsilon^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon^{\nu\mu} .$$
 (11)

Equivalence is modulo (Hodge dualised) De Rham cohomology. This form of the volume preserving diffeomorphism is a reducible gauge symmetry. Gauge transformations of gauge parameters read

$$\delta_{\omega}\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\partial_{\lambda}\omega_{\rho} \,, \quad \delta_{\eta}\omega^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu}\eta \,. \tag{12}$$

For the "Maxwell-like" s = 3 in d = 4,

$$\partial_{\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \epsilon^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\nu\mu} \quad \langle \approx \rangle \quad \epsilon^{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\lambda}\partial_{\rho}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \tag{13}$$

where  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = \epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda\rho}$ ,  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}$ . Gauge symmetry is reducible,

$$\delta_{\omega}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = \partial_{\sigma}\omega^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} , \quad \delta_{\eta}\omega^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} = \partial_{\tau}\eta^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma\tau} , \tag{14}$$

with gauge parameters of the following symmetry type:



For the connection between unfree and reducible gauge symmetry in Hamiltonian formalism, see [26].

As one can see from the examples, the dynamics with unfree gauge symmetry do not fit in the usual formalism of gauge systems. Below, we briefly explain the modifications of the general gauge theory which cover the case of unfree gauge symmetry. 2. General setup for unfree gauge symmetry, unfree gauge algebra

Consider Lagrangian field equations

$$\partial_i S(\phi) \approx 0. \tag{15}$$

Proceeding from the observations noticed in the examples, we assume the action  $S(\phi)$  to obey *modified Noether identities* [6], [27]:

$$\Gamma^i_\alpha \partial_i S + \Gamma^a_\alpha \tau_a \equiv 0\,, \tag{16}$$

where  $\Gamma$ 's are matrices of differential operators,  $\tau$  are local quantities. Operator  $\Gamma^a_{\alpha}$  has a finite kernel,

$$\Gamma^a_{\alpha} u_a = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \in K, \quad \dim K = k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (17)

Relations (16), (17) are replace the common definition of gauge symmetry (1) to account for the unfree gauge variation.

Let us explain now, the natural relation of "the global conserved quantaties" and unfree gauge symmetry. Once the kernel is finite, elements of Kare parameterised by k independent constants  $\Lambda_I$ ,

$$\forall u \in K \quad \Rightarrow \quad u = u^{I} \Lambda_{I}, \quad I = 1 \dots k \,. \tag{18}$$

The quantities  $\tau_a$  are assumed off-shell independent, while on-shell they reduce to elements of K, because of (16):

$$\mathcal{T}_a(\phi, \Lambda) \equiv \tau_a(\phi) - u_a(\Lambda) \approx 0, \quad u_a(\Lambda) \in K.$$
 (19)

These relations can be resolved w.r.t. the constants:

$$J_I(\phi) \approx \Lambda_I \,, \tag{20}$$

that means  $J_I$  are the global conserved quantities. The constants  $\Lambda$  are understood as modular parameters of the fields. Specific values of  $\Lambda$ 's are defined by the field asymptotics, or finite number of derivatives at fixed space-time point rather than by Cauchy data.

The local  $\Lambda$ -dependent quantities  $\mathcal{T}_a(\phi, \Lambda)$  vanish on-shell, while they do not reduce to the linear combinations of EoM's:

$$\mathcal{T}_a(\phi, \Lambda) = \tau_a(\phi) - u_a(\Lambda) \approx 0, \quad \mathcal{T}_a \neq \Theta_a^i \partial_i S.$$
(21)

These quantities are termed *completion functions*.

The modified Noether identity (16) means  $S(\phi)$  is invariant under gauge transformations

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\phi^{i} = \Gamma^{i}_{\alpha}\epsilon^{\alpha} \,, \tag{22}$$

provided for the gauge parameters  $\epsilon^{\alpha}$  are restricted by equations

$$\Gamma^a_{\alpha} \epsilon^{\alpha} = 0.$$
 (23)

With this regard,  $\Gamma^a_{\alpha}$  are termed gauge parameter constraint operators.

For gauge symmetry with unrestricted parameters, any on-shell trivial quantity reduces to linear combination of EoM's, while the gauge parameters are unrestricted. Commutation relations between gauge transformations, and the higher structure relations of gauge algebra, are deduced from Noether

4

identities (1) [1]. In the case of unfree gauge symmetry (16), (17), any onshell trivial quantity reduces to linear combination of EoM's **and** completion functions  $\mathcal{T}_a(\phi, \Lambda)$ . The gauge parameters  $\epsilon^{\alpha}$  are restricted by the equations (23).

Structure relations of unfree gauge symmetry algebra follow from modified Noether identities (16), (17), and they involve, besides gauge generators and EoM's, also completion functions  $\tau_a$  and gauge parameter operators  $\Gamma^a_{\alpha}$ .

Proceeding from modified Noether identities, with appropriate regularity assumptions for the generators and completion functions [6], [27], we arrive at the structure relations involving gauge generators and completion functions:

$$\Gamma^{i}_{\alpha}\partial_{i}\tau_{a} = R^{i}_{\alpha a}\partial_{i}S + R^{b}_{\alpha a}\tau_{b} + W_{ab}\Gamma^{b}_{\alpha}; \qquad (24)$$

$$\Gamma^{i}_{\alpha}\partial_{i}\Gamma^{j}_{\beta} - \Gamma^{i}_{\beta}\partial_{i}\Gamma^{j}_{\alpha} = U^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{j}_{\gamma} + E^{aj}_{\alpha\beta}\tau_{a} + E^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{i}S + R^{j}_{\alpha a}\Gamma^{a}_{\beta} - R^{j}_{\beta a}\Gamma^{a}_{\alpha}; \qquad (25)$$

$$\Gamma^{i}_{\alpha}\partial_{i}\Gamma^{a}_{\beta} - \Gamma^{i}_{\beta}\partial_{i}\Gamma^{a}_{\alpha} = U^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{a}_{\gamma} + R^{a}_{\alpha b}\Gamma^{b}_{\beta} - R^{a}_{\beta b}\Gamma^{b}_{\alpha} + E^{ab}_{\alpha\beta}\tau_{b} + E^{ai}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{i}S , \qquad (26)$$

where the structure coefficient  $W_{ab}$  is on-shell symmetric, and the structure functions E are antisymmetric,  $E^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} = -E^{ji}_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $E^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} = -E^{ba}_{\alpha\beta}$ . Relation (24) means the completion functions are on-shell invariant under

Relation (24) means the completion functions are on-shell invariant under unfree gauge variation; (25) demonstrates possible off-shell disclosure of the composition of gauge transformations, including deviation of the parameters from the equations restricting them; and relation (26) demonstrates that equations imposed on gauge parameters are gauge invariant under unfree gauge variation.

# 3. Faddev-Popov (FP) action for unfree gauge symmetry, BV-BRST formalism

Given the distinctions of the unfree gauge symmetry algebra from the case with unrestricted gauge parameters, the quantisation has to be correspondingly modified. Let us consider the modification at the level of FP recipe [6].

Impose independent gauges  $\chi^{I}(\phi)$ , the FP matrix is rectangular,

$$\frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}\chi^{I}}{\delta\varepsilon^{\alpha}} = \Gamma^{i}_{\alpha}(\phi)\partial_{i}\chi^{I}(\phi) \,. \tag{27}$$

The number of gauges plus the number of equations restricting gauge parameters equals to the number of gauge parameters. The unfree gauge variation has to be transverse to the gauge condition surface.

FP ghosts are introduced being restricted by the equations

$$\Gamma^a_{\alpha}(\phi)C^{\alpha} = 0, \quad \text{gh}(C^{\alpha}) = 1, \quad \epsilon(C^{\alpha}) = 1, \quad (28)$$

where  $\Gamma^a_{\alpha}(\phi)$  are operators of gauge parameter constraints.

Anti-ghosts are introduced for gauges and equations imposed on ghosts:

$$gh(\bar{C}_I) = gh(\bar{C}_a) = -1, \ \epsilon(\bar{C}_I) = \epsilon(\bar{C}_a) = 1, \ gh(\pi_I) = \epsilon(\pi_I) = 0.$$
 (29)

The FP path integral is adjusted to the case of unfree gauge symmetry:

$$Z = \int [d\Phi] \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{FP}(\phi)\right\}, \quad \Phi = \left\{\phi, \pi_i, C^{\alpha}, \bar{C}_I, \bar{C}_a\right\}, \tag{30}$$

where the FP action reads

$$S_{\rm FP} = S(\phi) + \pi_I \chi^I(\phi) + \bar{C}_I \Gamma^i_\alpha(\phi) \partial_i \chi^I(\phi) C^\alpha + \bar{C}_a \Gamma^a_\alpha(\phi) C^\alpha \,. \tag{31}$$

Path integral (30) remains unchanged under variation of gauge  $\chi$  in the action (31), see in [6]. Notice that even for the UG, the FP receipt has been know only for special gauge conditions [11] such that lead to a non-local action, while (31) works well for any local gauge.

The starting point of the BV-BRST formalism extension to the unfree gauge symmetry is the idea that ghosts are constrained

$$\Gamma^a_{\alpha} C^{\alpha} = 0. \tag{32}$$

This equation is considered on equal footing with the original EoM's. The equation is non-Lagrangian, so it has to be assigned with the antifield  $\xi^a$ . For introduction of antifields in non-Lagrangian BV-BRST formalism, see [28].

Once eq. (32) is ghost number one, the anti-field is ghost number zero! All the fields, including original ones, ghosts, and antifields  $\xi$  are equipped with anti-canonical conjugate. The grading is arranged in the Table 1.

Table 1.

	$\phi^i$	$\xi^a$	$C^{\alpha}$	$\phi_i^*$	$\xi_a^*$	$C^*_{\alpha}$
ε	0	0	1	1	1	0
gh	0	0	1	- 1	-1	-2
deg	0	1	0	1	1	2

Given the anti-canonical pairs, the anti-bracket reads

$$(A,B) = \frac{\partial^R A}{\partial \varphi^I} \frac{\partial^L B}{\partial \varphi_I^*} - \frac{\partial^R A}{\partial \varphi_I^*} \frac{\partial^L B}{\partial \varphi^I}, \qquad (33)$$

where  $\varphi^I = (\phi^i, \xi^a, C^{\alpha}), \ \varphi^*_I = (\phi^*_i, \xi^*_a, C^*_{\alpha})$ , and

$$gh((A,B)) = gh(A) + gh(B) + 1, \quad \varepsilon((A,B)) = \varepsilon(A) + \varepsilon(B) + 1.$$
 (34)

The BV action is defined by the master equation

$$(S,S) = 0.$$
 (35)

The solution is sought for as the expansion w.r.t. resolution degree

$$S = \sum_{k=0} S_k, \quad gh(S_k) = \varepsilon(S_k) = 0, \quad \deg S_k = k.$$
(36)

The boundary condition is defined by the first two orders

$$S_0 = S(\phi), \quad S_1 = \tau_a \xi^a + (\phi_i^* \Gamma_\alpha^i + \xi_a^* \Gamma_\alpha^a) C^\alpha , \qquad (37)$$

where S is the original action, while  $S_1$  includes the basic constituents of unfree gauge symmetry: completion functions  $\tau_a$ , gauge generators  $\Gamma^i_{\alpha}$ , and operators of gauge parameter constraints  $\Gamma^a_{\alpha}$ . The second order reads

$$S_{2} = \frac{1}{2} (C_{\gamma}^{*} U_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} + \phi_{j}^{*} \phi_{i}^{*} E_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} + 2\xi_{a}^{*} \phi_{i}^{*} E_{\alpha\beta}^{ia} + \xi_{b}^{*} \xi_{a}^{*} E_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}) C^{\alpha} C^{\beta} - \xi^{b} (\phi_{i}^{*} R_{b\alpha}^{i} + \xi_{a}^{*} R_{b\alpha}^{a}) C^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \xi^{b} \xi^{a} W_{ab} .$$
(38)

Master equation (35) identifies all the coefficients in  $S_2$  with structure functions in structure relations (24)–(26) of unfree gauge symmetry algebra.

BRST differential s is anti-Hamiltonian vector field for the master action:

$$sA = (A, S), \quad s^2 = 0, \quad gh(s) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(s) = 1.$$
 (39)  
It can be decomposed w.r.t. resolution degree

$$s = \delta + \gamma + {}^{(1)}_s + \dots, \ \deg \delta = -1, \ \deg \gamma = 0, \ \deg {}^{(1)}_s = 1.$$
 (40)

Because of master equation, the first orders are connected by the relations

$$s^{2} = 0 \Rightarrow \delta^{2} = 0, \ \delta\gamma + \gamma\delta = 0, \ \gamma^{2} + (\delta^{(1)}_{s} + {}^{(1)}_{s}\delta) = 0,$$
 (41)

where Kozul-Tate differential  $\delta$  is defined as

$$\delta A = -\frac{\partial^R A}{\partial \phi_i^*} \partial_i S - \frac{\partial^R A}{\partial C_\alpha^*} (\phi_i^* \Gamma_\alpha^i + \xi_a^* \Gamma_\alpha^a) + \frac{\partial^R A}{\partial \xi^a} \Gamma_\alpha^a C^\alpha \,. \tag{42}$$

By virtue of Noether identity for unfree gauge symmetry,  $\delta$  squares to zero,

$$\delta^2 A = -\frac{\partial^R A}{\partial C_a^*} (\Gamma^i_\alpha \partial_i S + \Gamma^a_\alpha \tau_a) \equiv 0.$$
(43)

One can verify that  $\delta$  is acyclic in strictly positive resolution degrees, that insures existence of solution for s in the deg > 0, Q.E.D. For more details, see [27].

Given the extension of the BV formalism to the case of unfree symmetry, one can seek for consistent deformations of the models of this class and systematically quantize them.

#### 4. Unfree gauge symmetry in Hamiltonian formalism

Hamiltonian action for the theory with primary constraints  $T_{\alpha}$  reads

$$S_H = \int dt \left( p_i \dot{q}^i - H_T \right), \ H_T = H + \lambda^{\alpha} T_{\alpha} \,, \tag{44}$$

where the role of fields is played by canonical variables  $q^i$ ,  $p_i$ , and Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda^{\alpha}$ . Assume that there are no second-class constraints. Conservation of  $T_{\alpha}$  leads to secondary constraints  $\tau_a$ ,

$$\dot{T}_{\alpha} \equiv \{T_{\alpha}, H_T\} = W_{\alpha}^{\beta} T_{\beta}(q, p) + \Gamma_{\alpha}^{a} \tau_a(q, p) \approx 0, \qquad (45)$$

where  $W, \Gamma$  are local differential operators,  $\Gamma$  has finite kernel. Secondary constraints  $\tau$  are considered as completion functions, and gauge symmetry should be unfree. Once the kernel of  $\Gamma$  is finite, completion functions can be redefined by adding modular parameters  $\Lambda$  to make  $\tau$  vanishing on-shell,

$$\Gamma^a_{\alpha}\tau_a = 0 \iff \tau_a = \Lambda_a, \ \Lambda_a \in \operatorname{Ker} \Gamma^a_{\alpha} : \tau_a \mapsto \tau_a - \Lambda_a.$$
 (46)

Assume no tertiary constraints appear,

$$\dot{\tau}_a \equiv \{\tau_a, H_T\} = W_a^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}(q, p) + W_a^b \tau_b(q, p) \approx 0.$$
(47)

For more general case, see [22].

Termination of the Dirac-Bergmann algorithm means the modified gauge identities as the EoM's turn out dependent with their differential consequences and completion functions:

$$\{T_{\alpha}, q^{i}\} \frac{\delta S_{H}}{\delta q^{i}} + \{T_{\alpha}, p_{i}\} \frac{\delta S_{H}}{\delta p_{i}} + \left(\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} - W^{\beta}_{\alpha}\right) \frac{\delta S_{H}}{\delta \lambda^{\beta}} + \Gamma^{a}_{\alpha} \tau_{a} \equiv 0;$$

$$\{\tau_{a}, q^{i}\} \frac{\delta S_{H}}{\delta q^{i}} + \{\tau_{a}, p_{i}\} \frac{\delta S_{H}}{\delta p_{i}} - W^{\alpha}_{a} \frac{\delta S_{H}}{\delta \lambda^{\alpha}} + \left(-\delta^{b}_{a} \frac{d}{dt} + W^{b}_{a}\right) \tau_{b} \equiv 0.$$

$$(48)$$

Corresponding unfree gauge symmetry transformations read

$$\delta_{\varepsilon} O(q, p) = \{ O, T_{\alpha} \} \varepsilon^{\alpha} + \{ O, \tau_{a} \} \varepsilon^{a} ,$$
  
$$\delta_{\varepsilon} \lambda^{\alpha} = \dot{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} + W^{\alpha}_{\beta} \varepsilon^{\beta} + W^{\alpha}_{a} \varepsilon^{a} .$$
(49)

Constraints on gauge parameters take the form

$$\left(\delta_b^a \frac{d}{dt} + W_b^a\right)\varepsilon^b + \Gamma_\alpha^a \varepsilon^\alpha = 0.$$
(50)

Direct computation confirms that action (44) is invariant under transformations (49), (50),

$$\delta_{\varepsilon}S_{H} \equiv \int dt \left[ \left( \left( \delta_{b}^{a} \frac{d}{dt} + W_{b}^{a} \right) \varepsilon^{b} + \Gamma_{\alpha}^{a} \varepsilon^{\alpha} \right) \tau_{a} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( T_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha} + \tau_{a} \varepsilon^{a} \right) \right] = 0.$$
 (51)

For the linearised unimodular gravity (LUG), Hamiltonian action (44) reads

$$S_{H}[h,\Pi,\lambda] = \int d^{4}x \left(\Pi^{ij}\dot{h}_{ij} - H - \lambda^{i}T_{i}\right), \quad T_{i} = -2\partial^{j}\Pi_{ij},$$
  

$$H = \Pi^{ij}\Pi_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\Pi^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(2\partial^{i}h_{ij}\partial_{k}h^{kj} - \partial_{i}h\partial^{i}h - \partial_{i}h_{jk}\partial^{i}h^{jk}\right),$$
(52)

where  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, h = \eta^{ij} h_{ij}, \Pi = \eta_{ij} \Pi^{ij}, \lambda^i = h^{0i}$ .

Conservation of primary constraints  $T_i$  leads to the secondary ones,

$$\dot{T}_i = \{T_i, H\} = -\partial_i \tau_0 = 0, \quad \tau_0 \equiv \partial^i \partial^j h_{ij} - \partial_i \partial^i h - \Lambda = 0.$$
(53)

The secondary constraints are conserved by virtue of the primary ones:

$$\dot{\tau}_0 = \{\tau_0, H\} = -\partial^i T_i \,. \tag{54}$$

Unfree gauge symmetry transformations read

$$\delta_{\varepsilon}h_{ij} = \partial_i\varepsilon_j + \partial_j\varepsilon_i \,, \ \delta_{\varepsilon}\Pi^{ij} = -\partial^i\partial^j\varepsilon^0 + \eta^{ij}\partial_k\partial^k\varepsilon^0 \,, \ \delta_{\varepsilon}\lambda^i = \dot{\varepsilon}^i + \partial^i\varepsilon^0 \,.$$
(55)

Gauge variation of the action reads

$$\delta_{\varepsilon}S_{H} \equiv \int d^{4}x \left( (\dot{\varepsilon}^{0} + \partial_{i}\varepsilon^{i})\tau_{0} - \partial_{0}(T_{i}\varepsilon^{i} + \tau_{0}\varepsilon^{0}) \right).$$
 (56)

So, gauge parameters have to obey equation

$$\dot{\varepsilon}^0 + \partial_i \varepsilon^i = 0. \tag{57}$$

For more detailed description, see [29]. For analogue in the non-linear UG, see [30].

#### 5. Hamiltonian BFV-BRST formalism

To avoid technical complexities, we restrict consideration by simplified involution relations

$$\{T_{\alpha}, H\} = V_{\alpha}^{a} \tau_{a}, \ \{\tau_{a}, H\} = V_{a}^{\alpha} T_{\alpha},$$
  
$$\{T_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}\} = \{T_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\} = \{\tau_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\} = 0$$
(58)

 $\{T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\} = \{T_{\alpha}, \tau_a\} = \{\tau_a, \tau_b\} = 0,$  with structure coefficients  $V_{\alpha}^a, V_a^{\alpha}$  being constants.

Complete BRST charge reads

$$Q = T_{\alpha}C^{\alpha} + \tau_a C^a + \pi_{\alpha}P^{\alpha}$$
<sup>(59)</sup>

Given the gauge conditions,

$$\dot{\lambda}^{\alpha} - \chi^{\alpha} = 0, \qquad (60)$$

the gauge fermion is introduced,

$$= \bar{C}_{\alpha}\chi^{\alpha} + \lambda^{\alpha}\overline{P}_{\alpha}\,,\tag{61}$$

Ψ and gauge-fixed Hamiltonian is defined by the usual rule,

$$H_{\Psi} = \mathcal{H} + \{Q, \Psi\} = H - \overline{P}_{\alpha} V_{a}^{\alpha} C^{a} - \overline{P}_{a} V_{\alpha}^{a} C^{\alpha} + T_{\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha} + \pi_{\alpha} \chi^{\alpha} + \overline{P}_{\alpha} P^{\alpha} + \overline{C}_{\alpha} \{\chi^{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\} C^{\beta} + \overline{C}_{\alpha} \{\chi^{\alpha}, \tau_{a}\} C^{a} .$$
(62)

The grading is arranged in the Table 2. For more detailed description, see [22], [26], [31].

Table 2.

	$C^{\alpha}$	$\overline{P}_{\alpha}$	$C^a$	$\overline{P}_a$	$\lambda^{lpha}$	$\pi_{\alpha}$	$P^{\alpha}$	$\overline{C}_{\alpha}$
ε	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
gh	1	-1	1	-1	0	0	1	-1

For the UG, the complete BRST charge reads [30]

$$Q = \int d^3x \left( T_i C^i + \tau C - \overline{P}_i C^j \partial_j C^i - \overline{P} \partial_i (C^i C) \right) + \overline{P}_i (-\overset{*}{g})^{-1} \overset{*}{g} ^{ij} C \partial_j C + \pi_i P^i , \quad T_i = -2 \overset{*}{g}_{ij} \left( \partial_k \Pi^{kj} + \overset{*}{\Gamma}^{j}_{kl} \Pi^{kl} \right), \quad (63) \tau = H - \Lambda = -\frac{1}{\overset{*}{g}} \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} \Pi^{ij} \Pi^{kl} + \overset{*}{R} - \Lambda, \quad \Lambda = const.$$

Introducing the gauge fermion

$$\Psi = \int d^3x \left( \overline{C}_i \chi^i + \overline{P}_i N^i \right), \quad \chi^i = (-\overset{*}{g})^{-1} \partial_j \overset{*ji}{g}^{ji} + N^j \partial_j N^i, \qquad (64)$$

we arrive to the complete gauge-fixed BRST-invariant Hamiltonian

$$H_{\Psi} = \mathcal{H} + \{Q, \Psi\} = \int d^3x \{H + T_i N^i + \pi_i \chi^i - \overline{P} \partial_i C^i - \overline{P}_i (-\overset{*}{g})^{-1} \overset{*}{g}^{ij} \partial_j C + \overline{P} \partial_i (CN^i) + \overline{P}_i (\partial_j C^i N^j - C^j \partial_j N^i) - \overline{C}_i (-\overset{*}{g})^{-1} (2\partial_j \overset{*}{g}^{ij} \overset{*}{\nabla}_k C^k + \partial_j (\overset{*}{\nabla}^j C^i + \overset{*}{\nabla}^i C^j)) + \overline{C}_i (-\overset{*}{g})^{-1} (\partial_j \overset{*}{g}^{ij} (-\overset{*}{g})^{-1} \Pi C - 2\partial_j ((-\overset{*}{g})^{-1} \Pi^{ij} C) + \partial_j (\overset{*}{g}^{ij} (-\overset{*}{g})^{-1} \Pi C)) + \overline{C}_i (\partial_j N^i P^j + N^j \partial_j P^i) + \overline{P}_i P^i \}.$$
(65)

As one can see, the Hamiltonian does not involve  $\Lambda$ , nor does it contain four-ghost vertices, unlike the GR analog. The BRST charge (63) involves cosmological constant explicitly. It is included in Q in a manner similar to inclusion of the intercept in the bosonic string theory. As the theory is nonrenormalisable, discussion of the consequences of this specifics is somewhat speculative. If the way was known to make serious quantum calculations, this could mean that the spectrum of admissible physical states is sensitive to  $\Lambda$ , and  $\Lambda$  can be even quantized, getting spectrum. On the other hand, no quantum transitions are possible between the states with different  $\Lambda$ , as it is involved in Q as a modular parameter. If one conseider an alternative reducible parameterisation of gauge symmetry as it is done in [30], this would lead to inequivalent BFV-BRST formalism, where  $\Lambda$  is not explicitly involved in BRST charge. From this perspective, cosmological constant is the BRST cocycle, and quantum transitions can be possible between the states with different  $\Lambda$ .

#### Conclusion

Besides action and gauge generators, the unfree gauge symmetry algebra has two more principal constituents: operators of gauge parameter constraints and completion functions. Noether identities are modified involving these constituents (16), (17). This results in modification of structure relations of gauge algebra. Modified Noether identities result in the "global conserved quantities" in any model with unfree gauge symmetry. The modification is found for the FP ansatz that accounts for the constraints imposed on the gauge parameters. This has consequences in the models, including UG. The BV-BRST field-antifield formalism is worked out that accounts for the unfree gauge symmetry. The unfree gauge symmetry transformations are described in terms of general constrained Hamiltonian formalism. The volume preserving diffeomorphisms are constructed in Hamiltonian form of UG. Hamiltonian BFV-BRST formalism is worked out for the systems with unfree gauge symmetry. Being applied to the UG, it results in previously unknown ghost vertices in the complete gauge fixed BRST invariant Hamiltonian.

#### Acknowledgments

In the studies of unfree gauge symmetry, the authors benefited from collaboration with D. Kaparulin and I. Karateva. Various aspects of these studies have been discussed with A. Barivinsky, D. Francia, A. Kamenshchik and A. Sharapov. The authors are grateful to all the mentioned colleagues.

The part of the work concerning BV and BFV formalism is supported by Foundation for Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics "Basis". Study of BRST quantization of the UG is supported by a government task of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, Project No. FSWM-2020-0033.

#### REFERENCES

- Henneaux M., Teitelboim C. Quantization of Gauge Systems. Princeton University Press, 1992.
- Alvarez, E., Blas, D., Garriga, J., Verdaguer, E. Transverse Fierz-Pauli symmetry // Nucl. Phys. B. - 2006. - V. 756. - P. 148. - arXiv:hepth/0606019.
- 3. Blas, D. Gauge symmetry and consistent spin-two theories // J. Phys. A. 2007. V. 40. P. 6965. arXiv:hep-th/0701049.
- 4. Skvortsov, E. D., Vasiliev, M. A. Transverse invariant higher spin fields // Phys. Lett. B. 2008. V. 664. P. 301-306. arXiv:hep-th/0701278.
- Campoleoni, A., Francia, D. Maxwell-like Lagrangians for higher spins // JHEP. - 2013. - V. 03. - P. 168. - arXiv:1206.5877 [hep-th].
- 6. *Kaparulin, D. S, Lyakhovich, S. L.* A note on unfree gauge symmetry // Nucl. Phys. B. - 2019. - V. 947. - P. 114735. - arXiv:1904.04038 [hep-th].
- Buchmuller, W., Dragon, N. Einstein gravity from restricted coordinate invariance // Phys. Lett. B. - 1988. - V. 207. - P. 292-294.
- Buchmuller, W., Dragon, N. Gauge fixing and the cosmological constant // Phys. Lett. B. - 1989. - V. 223. - P. 313-317.
- Unruh, W. G. A unimodular theory of canonical quantum gravity // Phys. Rev. D. - 1989. - V. 40. - P. 1048-1052.
- Henneaux, M., Teitelboim, C. The cosmological constants and general covariance // Phys. Lett. B. - 1989. - V. 222. - P. 195.
- 11. Percacci, R. Unimodular quantum gravity and the cosmological constant // Found. Phys. 2018. V. 48. P. 1364–1379. arXiv:1712.09903 [gr-qc].
- 12. Gielen, S., de León Ardón, R., Percacci, R. Gravity with more or less gauging // Class. Quant. Grav. — 2018. — V. 39, no. 19. — P. 195009. arXiv:1805.11626 [gr-qc].
- Dragon, N., Kreuzer, M. GQuantization of restricted gravity // Z. Phys. C. - 1988. - V. 41. - P. 485.
- 14. *Álvarez, E., Herrero-Valea, M.* Unimodular gravity with external sources // JCAP. 2013. V. 01. P. 014. arXiv:1209.6223 [hep-th].
- 15. Alvarez, E., Velasco-Aja, E. A Primer on Unimodular Gravity // arXiv:2301.07641 [gr-qc].

- Barvinsky, A. O. and Kamenshchik, A. Yu. Darkness without dark matter and energy – generalized unimodular gravity // Phys. Lett. B. – 2017. – V. 774. – P. 59–63. – arXiv:1705.09470 [qr-qc].
- Barvinsky, A. O., Kolganov, N., Kurov, A., Nesterov, D. Dynamics of the generalized unimodular gravity theory // Phys. Rev. D. - 2019.-V. 100, no. 2. - P. 023542. - arXiv:1903.09897 [hep-th].
- Barvinsky, A. O., Kolganov, N., Vikman, A. Generalized unimodular gravity as a new form of k-essence // Phys. Rev. D. - 2021. - V. 103, no. 6. - P. 064035. - arXiv:2011.06521 [hep-th].
- 19. Barvinsky, A. O., Nesterov D. V. Unimodular gravity in restricted gauge theory setup // arXiv:2212.13539 [hep-th].
- 20. Jiroušek, P., Vikman, A. New Weyl-invariant vector-tensor theory for the cosmological constant // JCAP. — 2019. — V. 04. — P. 004. arXiv:1811.09547 [gr-qc].
- Jiroušek, P., Shimada, K., and Vikman, A., Yamaguchi, M. Losing the trace to find dynamical Newton or Planck constants // JCAP. 2021. V. 04. P. 028. arXiv:2011.07055 [gr-qc].
- 22. Abakumova, V. A., Lyakhovich, S. L. Hamiltonian constraints and unfree gauge symmetry // Phys. Rev. D. - 2020. - V. 102, no. 12. - P. 125003. arXiv:2009.02848 [hep-th].
- Abakumova, V. A., Lyakhovich, S. L. Hamiltonian constrained formalism for the general field theories with unfree gauge symmetry // Memoirs of the Faculty of Physics. - 2021. - No. 1. - P. 2111503. (in Russian).
- Abakumova, V. A., Lyakhovich, S. L. Global conserved quantities and unfree gauge symmetry // Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. - 2022. - V. 19, no. 5. -P. 451-453. - arXiv:2208.09771 [hep-th].
- Francia, D., Lyakhovich, S. L., Sharapov A. A. On the gauge symmetries of Maxwell-like higher-spin Lagrangians // Nucl. Phys. B. 2014. V. 881. P. 248-268. arXiv:1310.8589 [hep-th].
- 26. Abakumova, V. A., Karataeva I. Yu. Lyakhovich, S. L. Reducible gauge symmetry versus unfree gauge symmetry in Hamiltonian formalism // Nucl. Phys. B. - 2021. - V. 973. - P. 115577. - arXiv:2108.12833 [hepth].
- 27. Kaparulin, D. S, Lyakhovich, S. L. Unfree gauge symmetry in the BV formalism // Eur. Phys. J. C. - 2019. - V. 79, no. 8. - P. 718. arXiv:1907.03443 [hep-th].

- Kazinski, P. O., Lyakhovich, S. L., Sharapov A. A. Lagrange structure and quantization // JHEP. — 2005. — V. 07. — P. 076. — arXiv:hepth/0506093.
- Abakumova, V. A., Karataeva I. Yu. Lyakhovich, S. L. Unfree gauge symmetry in the Hamiltonian formalism // Phys. Lett. B. - 2020. -V. 802. - P. 135208. - arXiv:1911.11548 [hep-th].
- Karataeva I. Yu. Lyakhovich, S. L. Gauge symmetry of unimodular gravity in Hamiltonian formalism // Phys. Rev. D. - 2022. - V. 105, no. 12. -P. 124006. - arXiv:2203.06620 [hep-th].
- Abakumova, V. A., Lyakhovich, S. L. Hamiltonian BFV-BRST quantization for the systems with unfree gauge symmetry // AIP Conf. Proc.— 2021. — V. 2377, no. 1. — P. 090001. — arXiv:2104.14077 [hep-th].