Direct photon production in pp, pA and AA collisions

D.Peresunko NRC "Kurchatov institute"



Photon classification

- Direct photons photons not originating from hadronic decays but produced in electromagnetic interactions in course of collision
 - Prompt direct photons: ones from interaction of incoming nucleons
 - Thermal direct photons: thermal radiation of hot matter
- Isolated photons: photons without hadronic activity in some cone (~0.4) around the photon
- Decay photons: photons from decays of final hadrons







Isolated or direct photons?

- Direct photons photons not originating from hadronic decays but produced in electromagnetic interactions in course of collision
- Measured as a difference $N_{\gamma}^{dir} = N_{\gamma}^{incl} N_{\gamma}^{dec}$
- Can not be measured in event-by-event





- Isolated photons: photons without hadronic activity in some cone (R~0.4) around the photon
- Can be measured in event-by-event basis
- Purity rapidly decreases with decrease at $p_T < 10-20$ GeV/c => can not measure at lower p_T
- Difference between direct and isolated photons diminish at high p_{T}



Direct photons in pp collisions: low energies



Direct photons in pp collisions: baseline, test of resummation techniques, input for PDF parameterization

L.E. Gordon and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 3136; Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 1901 developed technique to perform joined recoil and threshold resummations

P. Aurenche et al., Phys.Rev.D73:094007, 2006 developed package Jetphox https://lapth.cnrs.fr/PHOX_FAMILY/ jetphox.html



Isolated photons in pp at LHC





Direct photons in pp at low $\boldsymbol{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$

Low- p_{T} direct photon production in pp collisions is reproduced with NLO calculations

=> Have calibrated baseline





Production in small systems

In p+Au collisions with high multiplicity (central) PHENIX observed excess of direct photons at low p_{τ} <4 GeV/c w.r.t. scaled pp ALICE did not observe excess in p+Pb collisions within uncertainties Is it thermal emission or bias to MPI interactions with higher

bremstrahlung yield? How to distinguish mechanisms?



PHENIX: V. Khachatryan, J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 1602 (2020) 1, 012015 ALICE: N. Schmidt, PoS HardProbes2018 (2018) 183, e-Print:1812.08104 0-20% p-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ • ALICE preliminary

nPDF: nCTEQ15, FF: GRV nPDF: EPPS16, FF: GRV

1.5 - - Shen *et al.* - NLO pQCD: 1.4 - - PDF: CT10. FF: GBV

08

Direct photon spectrum in AA collisions



Prompt and thermal photons in AA collisions

W. Fan.

EPJ Web of Conf. 182, 020411

At high p_{τ} yield agrees with one in pp scaled with N_{coll} At low p_{τ} < 3 GeV/c clear excess, larger in central collisions

=>thermal radiation



Hydrodynamic models

Thermal photon spectrum is integral of emission rate $\mathsf{R}_{_{\gamma}}$ over space-time occupied by hot matter

$$\frac{d^{3}N^{\gamma,therm}}{dyd^{2}k_{T}} = \int_{\Omega} dV dt R_{\gamma}(k,T(x),\mu(x),u(x))$$

Hydrodynamic model provides temperature T, baryon chemical potential μ and four-velocity u.

Photon emission rate

$$E\frac{dR}{d^3p} = \frac{-2}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Im}\Pi^{R,\mu}_{\mu} \frac{1}{e^{E/T} - 1}$$

How photon emission rate behaves close to critical point? Increase as other susceptibilities? As size of fireball << photon mean free path, thermal photon yield should increase with R_v





Direct photon spectrum in AA collisions

G. David, Rept. Prog. Phys. 83 (2020) 4, 046301



Final state of hydro model is fixed with final hadron spectra.

No clear way how to choose initial time of hydro evolution => use different speculations.

Thermal photon yield rapidity increase with T (as T^4) => choosing smaller initial time => starting evolution at higher T results in higher thermal photon yield.

How to choose initial time for hydro evolution?

Direct photons at RHIC

Available hydrodynamic and and transport (PHSD) models tuned to reproduce final hadron spectra predict 2-5 times smaller direct photon yield.



10



O. Linnyk, W. Cassing, E.L. Bratkovskaya Phys.Rev.C 89 (2014) 3, 034908

direct γ from Au+Au, s_{NN}^{1/2}=200 GeV, |y|<0.35

10

PHENIX

 \mathbf{O}

PRL 104, 132301

arXiv:1405.3940

PHSD

-OGP

- • - • m+m

Direct photons at LHC

Similar to RHIC energies, hydrodynamic and transport calculations predict smaller yield which, although agree with data within uncertainties.

Do we miss some important source of direct photons? Do we underestimate emission rates?





Direct photons at low colliding energies

For colliding energies SPS, NICA, FAIR prompt photon contribution do not dominate at high p_{τ} => Possibility to explore high p_{τ} tail of quark spectrum => To which extend hydro + emission at equilibrium applicable? => Use transport approach?





Scaling of direct photon yield

PHENIX observed scaling of direct photon yield with charged particles multiplicity with smooth transition from light to heavy systems

 10^{2} $\alpha = 1.25$ $p(d,A)+p(A) \rightarrow \gamma_{dir} + X$ **α = 1.25** $p(d,A) + p(A) \rightarrow \gamma_{dir} + X$ 10² Pb+Pb, Vs_{NN} = 2760 GeV (ALICE) **PH**^{*} ENIX ▶ Pb+Pb, √s_{NN} = 2760 GeV ▼ Pb+Pb, √s_{NN} = 17.3 GeV (WA98) 10⊨ ■ Au+Au, √s_{NN} = 200 GeV preliminary 5.0 GeV/c) dN/dy (p_T > 1.0 GeV/c) Au+Au, Vs_{NN} = 200 GeV 10 **PH**^{*}ENIX ● Au+Au, √s_{NN} = 62.4 GeV Au+Au, Vs_{NN} = 62.4 GeV preliminary ◊ Au+Au, √s_{NN} = 39 GeV ↓ Au+Au, Vs_{NN} = 39 GeV ✓ Cu+Cu, √s_{NN} = 200 GeV Cu+Cu, √s_{NN} = 200 GeV + d+Au, √s_{NN} = 200 GeV ∆ d+Au, √s_{NN} = 200 GeV ★ p+Au, √s_{NN} = 200 GeV dN_{y}/dy (1.5 < p_{T} o p+p, vs = 200 GeV 10⁻¹ p+p, **√**s = 200 GeV • Au+Au, vs_{NN} = 200 GeV 2014 conversion met 10⁻² N_{coll} scaled prompt photons N_{coll} scaled prompt photons p+p fit, vs = 200 GeV 10p+p fit, √s = 200 GeV ___pQCD, vs = 2760 GeV -pQCD. s = 2760 GeV ___pQCD, √s = 200 GeV s = 200 GeV 10-4 s = 62 GeV.pQCD, √s = 62.4 GeV 10⁻⁻⁻ 10² 103 10 10^{3} $dN_{ch}/d\eta |_{\mu=0}^{10^2}$ 10 dN_{ch}/dη |_{ր≈0}

Why STAR and WA98 both measured ~5 times smaller yields?



A. Adare et al., PHENIX Collaboration, Phys.Rev.Lett. 123 (2019) 2, 022301, 1805.04084

Direct photon flow in AA collisions



 $\frac{dN}{d\phi} = 1 + 2v_1 \cos\left(\phi - \Psi_{RP}\right) + 2v_2 \cos\left[2\left(\phi - \Psi_{RP}\right)\right] + 2v_3 \cos\left[3\left(\phi - \Psi_{RP}\right)\right] + \dots$

Thermal photons, emitted early from hotter fireball carry smaller collective flow than those, emitted at later stages.

=> one can test development of collective flow with direct photons.

Collective expansion transforms initial spacial asymmetry of fireball to asymmetry in momentum space.





Direct photon flow at RHIC

Direct photon flow in Au+Au collisions comparable to flow of decay photons and considerably stronger than predicted by hydro models Measurements with different methods agree

G. David, Rept. Prog. Phys. 83 (2020) 4, 046301





(b)

(d)

0.6 fm/c

---- 0.4 fm/c

A. Adare et al., PRL 109, 122302

(a)

(c)

0.25

0.2 0.15 0.1

0.05

-0.05

0.25

0.2

0.15

0.1 0.05

 $\pi^0, \gamma^{\text{inc.}}, \gamma^{\text{dir.}} v_2$

Min. Bias

Direct photon flow at LHC

At LHC direct photon v_2 also comparable with v_2 of decay photons at p_T <3 GeV/c

 $v_2^{\gamma,\text{dir}}$ compatible with $v_2^{\gamma,\text{dir}} = 0$ within 1.4(1.0) σ in p_T range (0.9 < p_T < 2.1 GeV/c) No deviation beyond 2σ from theory observed for $v_2^{\gamma,\text{dir}}$





Phys.Lett.B 789 (2019) 308-322

Ideas to solve direct photon flow puzzle (1)

Suppress emission at the early stage of collision

Akihiko Monnai, Phys. Rev. C90, 021901 (2014),arXiv:1403.4225

C. Gale, Y. Hidaka, Sangyong Jeon, S. Lin, J.-F. Paquet, R.D. Pisarski, D. Satow, V.V. Skokov, and G.Vujanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 072301 (2015), arXiv:1409.4778

C.Gale, J-F. Paquet, B. Schenke, C. Shen, Quark Matter 2019, arxiv 2002.05191

Further reduce total photon yield, increasing discrepancy with measured spectra





Ideas to solve direct photon flow puzzle (2)

Photon emission in the presence of strong magnetic field

G. Basar, D. Kharzeev and V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 202303 (2012), arXiv:1206.1334

A. Bzdak and V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 192301 (2013), arXiv:1208.5502

X. Wang, I.A. Shovkovy, L. Yu, M. Huang,Phys.Rev.D 102 (2020) 7, 076010

How to explain large value of v_{3} ?





Questions (instead of conclusions)

- Do we have excess of direct photons in high-multiplicity pA collisions? If yes:
 - Is it thermal radiation, bias to MPI processes, anything else?
 - What is expected baseline of prompt photons in AA collisions?
- Hydrodynamic and transport models predict 2-5 times smaller thermal photon yield. What could be the reason?
- How thermal photon emission rate (related to conductivity) change in vicinity of critical point? Should we expect thermal photon flush?
- Low energy AA collisions probably provide possibility to explore high-p_T part of thermal photon spectrum p_T>5-10 T
 - What it proper approach to describe photon spectrum?
 - Access to momentum distribution of quarks in hot matter?
- Collective flow puzzle
 - Absence of emission at initial stages (a-la glasma): further decrease yield, increasing discrepancy with data
 - Synchrotron radiation: how to explain large v_3 ?

Dedicated experiment for direct photon measurement (small material of inner detectors with known material budget, high granularity and good resolution calorimeter) is highly appreciated



STAR vs PHENIX







L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR), Phys. Lett. B770, 451–458 (2017)

Comparison with direct photon flow at RHIC and LHC Phys.Lett.B 789 (2019) 308-322





Inclusive photon flow





ALI-PUB-158373

